Pre-Bid Compliance Sheet for Selection of Implementing Agency for development, implementation, and Maintenance Support of web portal for High School Transformation (HST) Project under 5T initiative (HST-5T) for S&ME Dept, Govt of Odisha

(RFP No.: OCAC-TE-03/2022/ENQ/23047)

Sl. #	RFP Document Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification	Response of OCAC
1	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.2 Pre-Qualification Criteria for Start-up organizations of Odisha Point – d | Page – 20/39	The bidder must have successfully completed at least one number of e-Governance project for any Government Department / Government Agency / PSU in India during last 5 years as on 31st March 2022 with value not less than 1.5 Cr.	Request you to please allow projects executed for private sector as well	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
2	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.4Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix for Start-up organizations of Odisha Point – b | Page – 23/39	The bidder should have a minimum of 20 IT resources as on bid submission date. Minimum 20 resources: 07 Marks (Additional 1 marks for additional 5 resources subject to maximum 10 marks)	We request you to consider the min. no of IT resources to 15 as we are 1.5 year old start up from Odisha	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
3	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.4 Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix for Start-up organizations of Odisha Point – c | Page – 23/39	Development and implementation of e-Gov application: The firm should have developed and implemented e-Governance applications in any State/Central Government/Government PSU as on bid submission date Project with minimum value of Rs. 2.00 Cr.:15 marks Project with minimum value of Rs. 1.00 Cr.: 10 marks each Project with minimum value of Rs. 50.00 Lakh: 05 marks each Maximum up to 15 Marks	Request you to please allow projects executed for private sector as well as a valid experience	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP

Sl. #	RFP Document Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification	Response of OCAC
4	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.4 Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix for Start-up organizations of Odisha Point – d | Page – 23/39	Experience in developing APIs and integrating with other applications: The firm should have developed APIs and used the same for integration with other applications/modules in any State/Central Government/ Government PSU as on bid submission date. [Each project will be awarded 05 marks]	Request you to please allow projects executed for private sector as well as a valid experience	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
5	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.4 Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix for Start-up organizations of Odisha Point – e | Page – 24/39	The firm should have provided support services for applications in any State/Central Government/ Government PSU as on bid submission date. For each project: 10 marks	Request you to please allow projects executed for private sector as well as a valid experience	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
6	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.4 Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix for Start-up organizations of Odisha Point – f | Page – 24/39	Experience in developing Mobile applications: The firm should have developed and implemented Android and IOS based mobile applications in any State/Central Government/Government PSU as on bid submission date. For each project: 5 marks	Request you to please allow projects executed for private sector as well as a valid experience	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
7	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.4 Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix for Start-up organizations of Odisha Point – g | Page – 24/39	Proposed Solution and its components with Prototype design. Live demonstration of at least one E-governance G2B or G2C application.	Request you to please allow projects executed for private sector as well as a valid experience	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP

Sl. #	RFP Document Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification	Response of OCAC
8	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.2 Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix Point – d | Page – 21/39	The bidder should have experience in development and implementation of e-Governance application for any State/Central Government of India/PSU with minimum order value of Rs. 3 Crore during last 5 years as on 31st March 2022. [Each project will be awarded 5 marks max up to 20 marks]	The bidder should have experience in development and implementation of e-Governance application for any State/Central Government of India/PSU with minimum order value of Rs.1 Crore during last 7 years as on 31st March 2022. [Each project will be awarded 5 marks max up to 20 marks]	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
9	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.2 Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix Point – e | Page – 21/39	The bidder should have experience of API development and integration project for any PSU/State Govt./ Central Govt. in India during last 5 years as on 31st March 2022. [Each project will be awarded 5 marks]	The bidder should have experience of application development and integration project for any Private Sector/PSU/State Govt./Central Govt. in India during last 5 years as on 31st March 2022. [Each project will be awarded 5 marks]	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
10	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.2 Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix Point – f | Page – 22/39	The bidder should have experience of development and implementation of Work flow-based application during last 5 years for any PSU/State Govt./ Central Govt. in India. [Each project will be awarded 5 marks]	The bidder should have experience of managing development and implementation of Work flow-based application during last 5 years for any Private sector/PSU/State Govt./Central Govt. in India. [Each project will be awarded 5 marks]	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
11	New		Kindly provide the payment terms	PLEASE REFER SECTION 6 OF RFP VOLUME II
12	New		Request you to provide the project millstones and deliverables	PLEASE REFER SECTION 5 OF RFP VOLUME II
13	New		Request you to provide the SLA parameters	PLEASE REFER SECTION 7 OF RFP VOLUME II

Sl. #	RFP Document Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification	Response of OCAC
14	New		**Request you to kindly provide the following details :** 1.Total data sources and data types (internal and external sources or agency) are required to integrate? 2.Any expectation to build the data lake, rather than extracting data from different source system individually 3. Whether there a metadata information document (data dictionaries, data table structure etc.) available for existing source systems? 4. How many years of history data to be migrated in proposed solution? 5.What is the current data size and what is the growth rate expected? 6.Life cycle of the data process and delta size of the records (daily, weekly and monthly)?Will there be ingestion of Real Time Data? 7.What is the acceptable latency between the data output and the data display on the dashboard?	1. ELEVEN DATA SOURCES ARE REQUIRED TO BE INTEGRATED. 2. WHILE BUILDING DATA LAKE THERE COULD BE POTENTIALLY BAD DATA THAT IS REQUIRED TO BE ANALYSED AND EXTRACTED BASED ON MULTIPLE BUSINESS LOGICS. 3. NO METADATA INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE. 4. SEVEN DATA SOURCES, 6 YEARS OF OLD DATA MAY NEED TO BE MIGRATED. 5. THE CURRENT DATA SIZE IN COMBINATION ALL SYSTEM IS AROUND 3 TB AND EXPECTED IT TO GROW AT 25-30% PER YEAR. 6. DEPENDING ON THE SOURCE AND DATA TYPES, LIFE CYCLE WILL VARY FROM DAILY TO YEARLY. 7. ACCEPTED LATENCY BETWEEN DATA OUTPUT AND DASHBOARD SHOULD BE IN MILLI SECONDS. MORE DETAILS WILL BE PROVIDED AT THE TIME OF SYSTEM STUDY
15	New		Kindly clarify as to who will provide the GIS maps , if the same are being envisaged ?	DEPARTMENT/OCAC

Sl. #	RFP Document Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification	Response of OCAC
16	New		Kindly clarify as to who will bear the cost for the SSL certificate as SSL certificate is bought in the client's name. Hence, this should ideally be in client's scope	IMPLEMENTING AGENCY
17	New		Kindly clarify as to who will bear the cost for the STQC certification	IMPLEMENTING AGENCY
18	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.3Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix Point – c | Page – 21/39	The bidder should have minimum 100 IT resources engaged as on 31st March 2022-100: 3 Marks (Additional 1 marks for additional 20 resources subject to maximum 5 marks) (Declared IT Resources must be B.E./B.Tech/ MCA or BCA)	We would request your good office to please consider the request for submitting EPF challan of the company, since we can't share the confidential information of EPF challan of the resources.	BIDDERS SHOULD PROVIDE EPF NUMBER OF ALL EMPLOYEE AND CERTIFICATE FROM COMPANY SECRETARY
19	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.3Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix Point – d | Page – 21/39	The bidder should have experience in development and implementation of e-Governance application for any State/Central Government of India/ PSU with minimum order value of Rs. 3 Crore during last 5 years as on 31st March 2022. [Each project will be awarded 5 marks max up to 20 marks]	We Would request you to kindly consider Self-Certification for milestone completion/CSAT as we have signed non-disclosure agreement with the client and they have certain time line to issue WCC/ Go live certificates	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
20	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.3Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix Point – f | Page – 22/39	The bidder should have experience of development and implementation of Work flow-based application during last 5 years for any PSU/State Govt./Central Govt. in India. [Each project will be awarded 5 marks]	We Would request you to kindly consider Self-Certification for milestone completion/CSAT as we have signed non-disclosure agreement with the client and they have certain time line to issue WCC/ Go live certificates	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
21	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.3Technical Evaluation	The bidder should have experience of API development and integration project for any PSU/State Govt./Central Govt. in India	We Would request you to kindly consider Self-Certification for milestone completion/CSAT as we have signed non-	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP

Sl. #	RFP Document Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification	Response of OCAC
	Scoring Matrix Point – e | Page – 22/39	during last 5 years as on 31st March 2022. [Each project will be awarded 5 marks]	disclosure agreement with the client and they have certain time line to issue WCC/ Go live certificates	
22	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.1Pre-Qualification Criteria Point – b | Page – 18/39	Sales Turnover from IT operations and services must be Rs. 50 Crores in last three financial years ending at 31st March 2022.	In order to attract sizeable companies having considerable experience in IT operations and services, we hereby request you to raise the turnover requirement from INR 50 Cr. To INR 80 Cr.	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
23	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.1Pre-Qualification Criteria Point – d | Page – 18/39	The bidder must possess a valid CMMiLv 5 or CMMiLv 3 Certificate as on date of submission of this RFP.	We hereby request you to please update this clause asThe bidder must possess a valid CMMiLv 5 Certificate as on date of submission of this RFP. We highly recommend this clause in order that well experienced, resourceful and process-driven companies are encouraged to participate in the bidding process for this prestigious project.	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
24	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.1Pre-Qualification Criteria Point – e | Page – 18/39	The bidder must have successfully completed at least following numbers of e-Governance projects for any Government Department / Government Agency / PSU in India during last 5 years as on 31st March 2022 and value specified below. 1 project not less than 3.6 Cr. OR 2 projects not less than 2.7 Cr. each OR 3 projects not less than 1.8 Cr. Each	In order to attract sizeable companies having considerable experience in software development, we hereby request you to please update this clause as: - The bidder must have successfully completed at least the following numbers of e-Governance projects for any Government Department /Government Agency / PSU in India during last 5 years as on 31st March 2022 and value specified below: - 1 project not less than 15 Cr. OR 2 projects not less than 10 Cr. each OR 3 projects not less than 5 Cr. Each	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP

Sl. #	RFP Document Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification	Response of OCAC
25	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.3Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix Point – a | Page – 21/39	Annual turnover from IT operations services in last 3 years50 Cr.: 3 Marks (Additional 1 marks for additional 2 cr. subject to maximum 5 marks)	"Since we have proposed to increase the benchmark for min. average annual turnover from INR 50 Cr. to 80 Cr.; we hereby propose a corresponding change in the technical evaluation criteria as below: - Average Annual turnover from IT operations and services in last 3 years ≥ 80 Cr: 3 Marks [Additional 0.5 mark for additional 5 crore subject to maximum 5 marks]"	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
26	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.3Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix Point – b | Page – 21/39	Quality Certification (CMMi Institute recognized and published CMMi 5 or 3 certificate) − CMMi Level 3 = 1 mark − CMMI Level 5= 2 mark − ISO 9001= 1 Mark − ISO 27001 = 1 mark − ISO 20000 = 1 Mark	Since we have proposed CMMI Level 5 in Pre-Qualification. We hereby request you to please update this clause asQuality Certification (CMMi Institute recognized and published CMMi 5 or 3 certificate) − CMMI Level 5= 3 mark − ISO 9001= 1 Mark − ISO 27001 = 1 mark − ISO 20000 = 1 Mark	PLEASE REFER CORRIGENDUM
27	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation | Section 6.3Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix Point – d | Page – 21/39	The bidder should have experience in development and implementation of e-Governance application for any State/Central Government of India/PSU with minimum order value of Rs. 3 Crore during last 5 years as on 31st March 2022. [Each project will be awarded 5 marks max up to 20 marks]	In order to attract sizeable companies having considerable experience in software development, we hereby request you to please update this clause as follows: - "The bidder should have experience in development and implementation of e-Governance application for any State/Central Government of India/PSU with minimum order value of Rs. 8 Crore during last 5 years as on 31st March 2022" [Each project will be awarded 5 marks max up to 20 marks]	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP

Sl. #	RFP Document Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification	Response of OCAC
28	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation	Section 6.3Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix Point – e	Page – 21/39	The bidder should have experience of API development and integration project for any PSU/State Govt./Central Govt. in India during last 5 years as on 31st March 2022. [Each project will be awarded 5 marks]	We hereby propose to increase the number of projects to be showcased for attainment of full marks (10) as the same will establish reasonable capability in the domain of API development. Therefore, the clause may be amended as follows: - e) The bidder should have experience of API development and integration project for any PSU/State Govt./Central Govt. in India during last 5 yrs as on 31st Mar 2022 [Each project will be awarded 2.5 marks]	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
29	RFP Vol. I: Section 6 Criteria for Evaluation	Section 6.3Technical Evaluation Scoring Matrix Point – f	Page – 22/39	The bidder should have experience of development and implementation of Work flow-based application during last 5 years for any PSU/State Govt./Central Govt. in India. [Each project will be awarded 5 marks]	We hereby propose to increase the number of projects to be showcased for attainment of full marks (15) as the same will establish reasonable capability in the domain of workflow based application development. Therefore, the clause may be amended as follows: - f) The bidder should have experience of development and implementation of Work flow-based application during last 5 years for any PSU/State Govt./Central Govt. in India of value at least INR 8 Crores. [Each project will be awarded 5 marks]	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
30			Kindly confirm if EMD & Power of Attorney are required in physical copy at Odisha as on date of submission of the bid.	PLEAESE REFER CLAUSE 5.4.3 FOR EMD SUBMISSION AND POINT i OF CLAUSE 6.1 FOR POWER OF ATTORNEY OF RFP VOL I
31	RFP Vol. II: Section 3Scope of Work	Section 3.4Capacity Building	Page – 6/26		Kindly confirm the number of trainees, number of locations from where trainees will attend, and the general profile of the trainees.	TRAIN THE TRAINER METHOD WILL BE ADOPTED, SEE THE VOL II FOR MORE DETAILS.

Sl. #	RFP Document Reference(s) (Section & Page Number(s))	Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification	Response of OCAC
32	RFP Vol. II: Section 4Functional Requirement	Section 4.14Integration with Third Party tool	Pg – 16/26		Kindly confirm whether the APIs for School Unified Automation system will be provided to us or we need to develop the same.	APIS WILL BE DEVELOPEDBY THE IMPLEMENTING AGENCY. OTHER DETAILS WILL BE SHARED AT THE TIME OF SYSTEM STUDY
33	RFP Vol. II: Section 4Functional Requirement	Section 4.1Multi-level OTP Based user login	Pg – 10/26		Who will provide the SSO?	IMPLEMENTING AGENCY
34	RFP Vol. II: Section 5Expected Project Timeline	Page – 23/26		The department is requested to increase the total timelines to 6 months instead of 4-4.5 months i.e. 26 Weeks instead of 20 Weeks for Go-Live of the project as a project of this size & complexity will require minimum this much time to execute	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP
35			Looking at the scope and eligibility of the said tender, we request you to please allow consortium in this tender.	NO
36	RFP Vol. I: Section 6Criteria for Evaluation	Section 6.1Pre-Qualification Criteria Point - e	Page – 18/26	The bidder must have successfully completed at least following numbers of e-Governance projects for any Government Department / Government Agency / PSU in India during last 5 years as on 31st March 2022 and value specified below. 1 project not less than 3.6 Cr. OR 2 projects not less than 2.7 Cr. each OR 3 projects not less than 1.8 Cr. Each	There are few projects which are ongoing and phase completion certificate is available, so We request you to please ask for phase completion certificate also. Work Order + Project completion / Go-live certificate/Phase completion certificate	NO CHANGE, AS PER RFP